

BERRY LYNN ADAMS, ) Case No.: 10-CV-00602-LHK  
Plaintiff, ) ORDER RESETTING PRETRIAL  
v. ) CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATE  
DANIEL L. KRAFT, a State of California Park ) (re: dkt #162)  
Ranger, et al., )  
Defendants. )

On October 26, 2011, the Court granted Plaintiff a one-day extension to file his motions in limine, pursuant to Plaintiff's request for an extension due to a family medical emergency. In that Order, the Court noted that it would not reschedule the pretrial conference set for November 7, 2011, or the trial set to begin on November 14, 2011. The next day, however, Plaintiff filed a Request for an Order Postponing the Trial Date, supported by a declaration by Plaintiff's counsel providing greater detail about her family medical circumstances. Furthermore, the declaration states that opposing counsel is sympathetic to Plaintiff's counsel's situation and does not oppose this request for postponement.

Although the Court is extremely reluctant to postpone resolution of this case, the Court recognizes the exceptional nature of Plaintiff's request and will therefore continue the pretrial conference from November 7, 2011, to December 20, 2011, at 2:00 p.m., and continue the trial start date from November 14, 2011, to January 3, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. The matter is now set for trial

1 on January 3, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; January 6, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.;  
2 January 9, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; and January 10, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

3 The parties shall comply with the Court's Standing Order governing Final Pretrial  
4 Conferences in Jury Trials and file their joint pretrial statement no later than 14 days before the  
5 pretrial conference. Likewise, Plaintiff shall file his motions in limine no later than 14 days before  
6 the pretrial conference. Defendants have already timely filed their motions in limine based on the  
7 November 7, 2011 pretrial conference date. For both parties, responses to motions in limine will  
8 be due no later than 10 days before the final pretrial conference.

9 Although the Court is sympathetic to Plaintiff's counsel's family situation, the Court will  
10 not grant any further requests for extension. Should Plaintiff's counsel find herself unable to  
11 comply with this Order, she shall timely file a motion to substitute counsel so that the case  
12 proceeds in accordance with the schedule set forth herein.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14  
15 Dated: October 27, 2011  
16

  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28